IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2857 OF 2005

Sambhaji Sitaram Katkar] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. General Commanding Officer,]
Army Headquarters, New Delhi & Anr.] .. Respondents

Mr. Rahul S. Kate for the petitioner.

Mr. I.A. Engineer for respondents.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA &
NARESH H. PATIL, JJ.

DATED: 21ST JULY, 2006

P.C. :

. It is not in dispute that initially the application for premature retirement was not made by the petitioner, but by his family members. Obviously, such application could not have been entertained and was rightly rejected.

2. Thereafter, we are informed, that petitioner himself has made the application for premature retirement. The copy of the said application is annexed as Exhibit-F with the Writ Petition. That application does not bear any date. However, we observe that if any

application has been made by the petitioner for premature retirement, the concerned authority will consider the same in accordance with law.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
[R.M. LODHA, J.]

Sd/-
[NARESH H. PATIL, J.]